arXiv:1503.00835v3 [math.GR] 21 Aug 2015

Property (T_{L^Φ}) and property (F_{L^Φ}) for Orlicz spaces L^Φ

Mamoru Tanaka*

Abstract

An Orlicz space $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ is a Banach function space defined by using a Young function Φ, which generalizes the L^p spaces. We show that, for a reflexive Orlicz space $L^\Phi([0,1])$, a locally compact second countable group has Kazhdan's property (T) if and only if it has property $(T_{L^\Phi([0,1])})$, which is a generalization of Kazhdan's property (T) for linear isometric representations on $L^\Phi([0,1])$. We also prove that, for a Banach space B whose modulus of convexity is sufficiently large, if a locally compact second countable group has Kazhdan's property (T), then it has property (F_B), which is a fixed point property for affine isometric actions on B. Moreover, we see that, for an Orlicz sequence space $\ell^{\Phi\Psi}$ such that the Young function Ψ sufficiently rapidly increases near 0, hyperbolic groups (with Kazhdan's property (T)) don't have property $(F_{\ell^{\Phi\Psi}})$. These results are generalizations of the results for L^p-spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 22D12, 46E30

Keywords. Kazhdan's Property (T), locally compact second countable groups, Orlicz spaces.

1 Introduction

Property (T) is known as a rigidity property of topological groups with respect to the irreducible unitary representations. In [BFGM07], they generalize Kazhdan's property (T) for linear isometric representations on Banach spaces: Let G be a topological group and $(B, \| \ \|)$ a Banach space. A *linear isometric G-representation* on B is a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G \to O(B)$, where $O(B)$ denotes the group of all invertible linear isometries $B \to B$, and continuous means the action map $G \times B \to B$ is continuous. We say that a linear isometric G-representation ρ *almost has invariant vectors* if for all compact subsets $K \subset G$

$$\inf_{v \in B; \|v\|=1} \max_{g \in K} \|\rho(g)v - v\| = 0.$$

---

*This work was supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan

Denote by $B^{\rho(G)}$ the closed subspace of G-fixed vectors in B. Then the G-representation ρ descends to a linear isometric G-representation $\tilde{\rho}$ on $B/B^{\rho(G)}$.

Definition 1 ([BFGM07]). Let B be a Banach space. A topological group G is said to have *property* (T_B) if for any linear isometric G-representation $\rho : G \to O(B)$, the quotient G-representation $\tilde{\rho} : G \to O(B/B^{\rho(G)})$ does not almost have invariant vectors.

For a Hilbert space H, Kazhdan's property (T) is equivalent to property (T_H). For a locally compact second countable group, Delorme [Del77] and Guichardet [Gui72] proved that Kazhdan's property (T) is equivalent to Serre's property (FH), that is, every affine isometric action on a real Hilbert space has a fixed point.

Definition 2 ([BFGM07]). Let B be a Banach space. A topological group G is said to have *property* (F_B) if every affine isometric G-action on B has a fixed point.

In [BFGM07], they proved the following:

Theorem 3 ([BFGM07]). *Let G be a locally compact second countable group, B a Banach space.*

(1) *If G has property (F_B), then G has property (T_B).*

(2) *If G has property $(T_{L^p([0,1])})$ for some $1 \le p < \infty$, then G has Kazhdan's property (T).*

(3) *If G has Kazhdan's property (T), then G has property $(T_{L^p(\mu)})$ for any σ-finite measure μ and any $1 \le p < \infty$.*

(4) *If G has Kazhdan's property (T), then there exists a constant $\epsilon(G) > 0$ such that G has property $(F_{L^p(\mu)})$ for any σ-finite measure μ and any $1 \le p < 2 + \epsilon(G)$.*

On the other hand, Yu proved

Theorem 4 ([Yu05]). *If Γ is a hyperbolic group, then there exists $2 \le p(\Gamma) < \infty$ such that Γ admits a proper affine isometric action on an ℓ^p-space for $p \ge p(\Gamma)$.*

There are hyperbolic groups which have Kazhdan's property (T), for example, the cocompact lattices of $Sp_{n,1}(\mathbb{R})$ $(n \ge 2)$. Hence property (F_{ℓ^p}) and property (T_{ℓ^p}) are not equivalent for sufficiently large $p \ge 2$.

T. Yokota asked us whether results about isometric group actions on L^p-spaces is true for Orlicz spaces L^Φ under appropriate conditions. Here an Orlicz space is a generalization of L^p-spaces, which is defined in section 2. We prove the following:

Theorem 5. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group, Φ a Young function with $0 < \Phi(t) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. If G has property $(T_{L^\Phi([0,1],\mathbb{K})})$, then it has Kazhdan's property (T).*

L^p spaces ($1 \leq p < \infty$) are Orlicz spaces satisfying the assumption of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group, and Φ an N-function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2^\Omega \cap \nabla_2^\Omega$, and*

(1) $\Omega = [0,1]$ *and* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, *or* (2) $\Omega = [0,1]$ *and* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, *or* (3) $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

If G has Kazhdan's property (T), then it has property $(T_{L^\Phi(\Omega,\mathbb{K})})$ with respect to gauge norm.

For an N-function Φ, $\Phi \in \Delta_2^\Omega \cap \nabla_2^\Omega$ if and only if $L^\Phi(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ is reflexive. Hence L^p spaces ($1 < p < \infty$) are Orlicz spaces satisfying the assumption of Theorem 6.

Corollary 7. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group. For a reflexive Orlicz space $L^\Phi([0,1])$, G has has Kazhdan's property (T) if and only if it has property $(T_{L^\Phi([0,1],\mathbb{K})})$.*

A Banach space B is said to be *uniformly convex* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ the modulus of convexity

$$\delta_B(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \left\| \frac{u+v}{2} \right\| : \|u\| = \|v\| = 1, \|u - v\| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

is positive. A Banach space B is said to be *uniformly smooth* if the modulus of smoothness

$$\rho_B(\tau) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\|u+v\| + \|u-v\|}{2} - 1 : \|u\| = 1, \|v\| = \tau \right\},$$

satisfies $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\tau} = 0$. By [Cla36], the modulus of convexity is calculated as $\delta_{L^p}(\epsilon) = 1 - (1 - (\frac{\epsilon}{2})^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p \geq 2$. Uniformly convex (or uniformly smooth) Banach spaces are reflexive.

Theorem 8. *If G has Kazhdan's property (T), then there exists a constant $\epsilon(G) > 0$ such that G has property (F_B) for every real (or complex) Banach spaces B with $\delta_B(t) \geq \delta_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $0 < t < 2$ (or with $\rho_B(t) \leq \rho_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $t > 0$).*

L^p spaces ($2 \leq p \leq 2 + \epsilon(G)$) are Orlicz spaces satisfying the assumption of Theorem 8.

Theorem 9. *Let Γ be a hyperbolic group and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists $2 \leq p(\Gamma) < \infty$ such that, for any N-functions Φ and Ψ satisfying*

- $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ *(this is equivalent to $\ell^\Phi(\Gamma, \mathbb{K})$ is separable) and*
- *there is a constant $C > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that $\Psi(t) \leq C t^{p(\Gamma)}$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$,*

the group Γ *admits a proper affine isometric action on* $\ell^{\Psi}(\Gamma, \ell^{\Phi}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}))$ *with gauge norm.*

For example, $\ell^p = \ell^p(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ with $p \geq p(G)$ are Orlicz spaces satisfying the assumption of Theorem 9.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank T. Yokota for asking the question and encouraging us.

2 Orlicz spaces

This section refers to [RR91] and [FJ03].

A function $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty]$ is said to be a *Young function* if it is

(1) convex, i.e., $\Phi(st_1 + (1-s)t_2) \leq s\Phi(t_1) + (1-s)\Phi(t_2)$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, +\infty)$ and $s \in [0, 1]$;

(2) $\Phi(0) = 0$;

(3) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty$.

The function $\Phi^* : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty]$ defined by $\Phi^*(s) := \sup\{st - \Phi(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is called the *complementary function* of Φ, which is also a Young function. A Young function Φ is called an *N-function* if it is a Young function satisfying $0 < \Phi(t) < \infty$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, and $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = \infty$. The complementary function of an N-function is also an N-function. For example, the function $\Phi_p(t) = \frac{t^p}{p}$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ is an N-function, and the complementary function is $\Phi_p^*(s) = \frac{s^q}{q}$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The functions

$$\Phi_1(t) = |t| \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Phi_\infty(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t \in [-1, 1]) \\ +\infty & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

are Young functions, but they are not N-function.

Let Ω be a σ-finite measure space with a positive measure μ, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 10. For a Young function Φ, the space

$$L^{\Phi}(\Omega, \mathbb{K}) := \left\{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{K} \mid \text{measurable}, \int_\Omega \Phi(a|f|)d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } a > 0 \right\} / \sim$$

is called an *Orlicz space*, where $f \sim g$ means $f = g$ μ-a.e.. For $f \in L^{\Phi}$, we define

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} := \inf \left\{ b > 0 : \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{b}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The $\| \ \|_{(\Phi)}$ is a norm on L^{Φ}, which is called the *gauge norm* (or the *Luxemburg-Nakano norm*). For $f \in L^{\Phi}$, we define

$$\|f\|_{\Phi} := \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega |f\psi| d\mu : \int_\Omega \Phi^*(|\psi|) \, d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The $\| \cdot \|_{\Phi}$ is also a norm on L^{Φ}, which is called the *Orlicz norm.* The norm spaces $(L^{\Phi}, \| \ \|_{(\Phi)})$ and $(L^{\Phi}, \| \cdot \|_{\Phi})$ are Banach spaces.

Since $(L^{\Phi_p}, \| \ \|_{(\Phi_p)}) = (L^p, \| \ \|_{L^p})$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, an Orlicz spaces with gauge norm are generalization of L^p spaces. Gauge norm and Orlicz norm have the relation

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} \leq \|f\|_{\Phi} \leq 2\|f\|_{(\Phi)} \tag{1}$$

for any $f \in L^{\Phi}$.

We consider the following conditions for $\Omega = [0,1]$ with the Lebesgue measure μ, and $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ with the counting measure μ. A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2^{Ω}*-condition* and denoted as $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\Omega}$ if there are $K > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq K\Phi(t) \quad \text{for all } \ t \geq t_0 \text{ if } \Omega = [0,1] \ \ (\text{for all } \ 0 < t \leq t_0 \text{ if } \Omega = \mathbb{N}).$$

A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the ∇_2^{Ω}*-condition* and denoted as $\Phi \in \nabla_2^{\Omega}$ if there are $c > 1$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$2c\Phi(t) \leq \Phi(ct) \quad \text{for all } \ t \geq t_0 \text{ if } \Omega = [0,1] \ \ (\text{for all } \ 0 < t \leq t_0 \text{ if } \Omega = \mathbb{N}).$$

For example, $\Phi_p \in \Delta_2^{\Omega}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\Phi_p \in \nabla_2^{\Omega}$ for $1 < p < \infty$. If $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\Omega}$, then the simple functions on Ω are dense in L^{Φ}, and

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_{(\Phi)}}\right) d\mu = 1$$

for $f \in L^{\Phi}$ with $f \neq 0$. For an N-function Φ, $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\Omega} \cap \nabla_2^{\Omega}$ if and only if $L^{\Phi}(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ is reflexive. Note that the uniform continuity and uniform smoothness of $L^{\Phi}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ can be written by conditions for Φ and Φ^*, which are strictly stronger than $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\Omega} \cap \nabla_2^{\Omega}$.

Theorem 11 ([RR02]). *For a N-function Φ and $0 < s \leq 1$, let $\Phi_{(s)}$ be the inverse function of $\Phi_{(s)}^{-1}(t) = (\Phi^{-1}(t))^{1-s} t^{\frac{s}{2}}$. Then $\Phi_{(s)}$ is also an N-function and for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$*

$$\delta_{L^{\Phi_{(s)}}(\Omega,\mathbb{R})}(\epsilon) \geq 1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} = \delta_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}(\Omega,\mathbb{R})}(\epsilon).$$

The function $\Phi_{(s)}$ is an N-function between $\Phi_{(0)} = \Phi$ and $\Phi_{(1)} = \Phi_2$ in some sense. For example, let $p(s) = \frac{1}{(1-s)\frac{1}{p} + s\frac{1}{2}}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\Phi_{p,(s)} = \frac{t^{p(s)}}{p^{\frac{1-s}{p}p(s)}} = \frac{p(s)}{p^{\frac{1-s}{p}p(s)}} \Phi_{p(s)}.$$

Hence we can easily construct uniformly convex Orlicz spaces satisfying the assumption of Theorem 8.

3 Proof of Theorem 5

Theorem 5. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group, Φ a Young function with $0 < \Phi(t) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. If G has property $(T_{L^\Phi([0,1],\mathbb{K})})$, then it has Kazhdan's property (T).*

Proof. Assume that G does not have Kazhdan's property (T). Connes and Weiss [CW80] construct a measure-preserving, ergodic G-action on a standard non-atomic probability space (Ω, μ) which admits an asymptotically invariant measurable subsets $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\mu(E_n) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(gE_n \triangle E_n) \to 0 \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

As in 4.c in [BFGM07], we can take this $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such as the convergence $\mu(gE_n \triangle E_n) \to 0$ is uniform on compact subsets of G. Consider the linear isometric G-representation ρ on $B = L^\Phi(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ defined by $\rho(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. Then $B^{\rho(G)} = \mathbb{K}\chi_\Omega$, the constant functions on Ω. Let $\tilde{B} = B/B^{\rho(G)}$. From the assumption, Φ has the inverse $\Phi^{-1} : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$. Hence for $\tilde{f}_n = 2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega + \mathbb{K}\chi_\Omega \in \tilde{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}} &= \inf_{a\in\mathbb{K}} \|2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega + a\chi_\Omega\|_{(\Phi)} \\
&= \inf_{a\in\mathbb{K}} \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{|2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega + a\chi_\Omega|}{b}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf_{a\in\mathbb{K}} \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \int_{E_n} \Phi\left(\frac{|1+a|}{b}\right) d\mu + \int_{\Omega - E_n} \Phi\left(\frac{|a-1|}{b}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf_{a\in\mathbb{K}} \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \frac{1}{2}\Phi\left(\frac{|1+a|}{b}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi\left(\frac{|1-a|}{b}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\geq \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \Phi\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) \leq 2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(2)} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}} &= \inf_{a\in\mathbb{K}} \|\rho(g)(2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega) - (2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega) + a\chi_\Omega\|_{(\Phi)} \\
&\leq \|\rho(g)(2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega) - (2\chi_{E_n} - \chi_\Omega)\|_{(\Phi)} \\
&= 2\|\chi_{gE_n \triangle E_n}\|_{(\Phi)} \\
&= 2\inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{\chi_{gE_n \triangle E_n}}{b}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= 2\inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \Phi\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) \mu(gE_n \triangle E_n) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \frac{2}{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(gE_n \triangle E_n)})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{f}_n' = \frac{\tilde{f}_n}{\|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}}}$. Since $\Phi^{-1}(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, we have

$$\|\tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{f}_n' - \tilde{f}_n'\|_{\tilde{B}} \leq \frac{\|\tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}}}{\|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}}} \leq \frac{2\Phi^{-1}(2)}{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(gE_n \triangle E_n)})} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of G. This means $\tilde{\rho}$ almost has the invariant vectors $\{\tilde{f}_n'\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Hence G does not have property $(T_{L^\Phi([0,1],\mathbb{K})})$ for gauge norm. Using (1), we can prove for Orlicz norm. $\square$

4 Generalized Mazur map

Delpech proved the Hölder continuity of a generalized Macer map on the unit sphere of real reflexive Orlicz spaces in [Del05]. In this section, we see the Hölder continuity of a generalized Mazur map around the unit sphere of real or complex reflexive Orlicz spaces.

Let $\Omega = [0,1]$ or $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and denote by L^Φ as $L^\Phi(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$.

Definition 12. Let Φ, Ψ be two N-functions. The map

$$\phi_{\Phi\Psi} : L^\Phi \to L^\Psi; f \mapsto \phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f) := \Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi(|f|) \operatorname{sign}(f)$$

is called the *generalised Mazur map*, where $\operatorname{sign}(f)(x) := f(x)/|f(x)|$ for $x \in \Omega$ with $f(x) \neq 0$.

Note that if $\Phi, \Psi \in \Delta_2^\Omega$, then $\phi_{\Phi\Psi}$ is a bijection between the unit sphere S_Φ of L^Φ and the unit sphere S_Ψ of L^Ψ.

Theorem 13. *Let Φ and Ψ be N-functions with $\Phi, \Psi \in \Delta_2^\Omega \cap \nabla_2^\Omega$. Then the generalized Mazur map $\phi_{\Phi\Psi} : A_\Phi \to L^\Psi$ is a $1 \wedge \alpha$-Hölder map for some $0 < \alpha < \infty$, where $A_\Phi = \{f \in L^\Phi \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq \|f\|_{(\Phi)} \leq \frac{3}{2}\}$.*

For $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$, a non-decreasing continuous function $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ is said to be *in the class* $\mathcal{K}(\alpha,\beta)$, and denoted as $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha,\beta)$, if $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t^\alpha}$ is a non-decreasing function of $t > 0$ and $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t^\beta}$ is a non-increasing function of $t > 0$. Hence for $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha,\beta)$, $r \geq 1$ and $0 < s \leq 1$, we have

$$r^\alpha \varphi(t) \leq \varphi(rt), \quad \varphi(st) \leq s^\alpha \varphi(t), \quad \varphi(rt) \leq r^\beta \varphi(t), \quad s^\beta \varphi(t) \leq \varphi(st). \quad (2)$$

As Remark 2.2 (i) and Proposition 2.3 in [Del05], for $\Phi \in \Delta_2^\Omega \cap \nabla_2^\Omega$, there exist constants $0 < p_\Phi \leq q_\Phi < \infty$, $D > 0$, $C > 0$ and an N-function $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathcal{K}(p_\Phi, q_\Phi)$ such that

$$D\Phi(t) \leq \tilde{\Phi}(t) \leq C\Phi(t)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$ if $\Omega = [0,1]$ (for all $0 < t \leq t_0$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$). Then $L^{\tilde{\Phi}} = L^\Phi$ as set, and the identity map is isomorphism. Hence the map $A_\Phi \ni f \mapsto \frac{\|f\|_{(\Phi)}}{\|f\|_{(\tilde{\Phi})}} f \in A_{\tilde{\Phi}}$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Thus we may assume $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}(p_\Phi, q_\Phi)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{K}(p_\Psi, q_\Psi)$.

For $\alpha = \frac{p_\Phi}{q_\Psi}$ and $\beta = \frac{q_\Phi}{p_\Psi}$, the non-decreasing continuous function $\varphi = \Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi$ is in the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then for $f \in L^\Phi$ with $\|f\|_{(\Phi)} \geq 1$, by the inequalities (2), since $\varphi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{(\Phi)}}\right) \leq \frac{\varphi(|f(x)|)}{\|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}}$, we have

$$1 = \left\| \phi_{\Phi\Psi}\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_{(\Phi)}}\right)\right\|_{(\Psi)} = \left\| \varphi\left(\frac{|f|}{\|f\|_{(\Phi)}}\right)\right\|_{(\Psi)} \leq \left\| \frac{\varphi(|f|)}{\|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}}\right\|_{(\Psi)} = \frac{\|\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f)\|_{(\Psi)}}{\|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}}.$$

Hence $\|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)} \leq \|\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f)\|_{(\Psi)}$. Similarly, we have $\|\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f)\|_{(\Psi)} \leq \|f\|^\beta_{(\Phi)}$. For $f \in L^\Phi$ with $0 < \|f\|_{(\Phi)} \leq 1$, we have $\|f\|^\beta_{(\Phi)} \leq \|\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f)\|_{(\Psi)} \leq \|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}$. That is, for $f \in L^\Phi$,

$$\min\{\|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}, \|f\|^\beta_{(\Phi)}\} \leq \|\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f)\|_{(\Psi)} \leq \max\{\|f\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}, \|f\|^\beta_{(\Phi)}\} \tag{3}$$

holds.

Lemma 14. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a, b \neq 0$ we have:*

- *If $\beta \leq 1$, then*

$$|\varphi(|a|)\operatorname{sign}(a) - \varphi(|b|)\operatorname{sign}(b)| \leq \varphi(|a-b|) + 4\frac{|a-b|}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|).$$

- *If $\beta \geq 1$, then*

$$|\varphi(|a|)\operatorname{sign}(a) - \varphi(|b|)\operatorname{sign}(b)| \leq (2\beta+4)\frac{|a-b|}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi(|a|)\operatorname{sign}(a) - \varphi(|b|)\operatorname{sign}(b)| \\ \leq\ & |\varphi(|a|)\operatorname{sign}(a) - \varphi(|b|)\operatorname{sign}(a)| + |\varphi(|b|)\operatorname{sign}(a) - \varphi(|b|)\operatorname{sign}(b)| \\ \leq\ & |\varphi(|a|) - \varphi(|b|)| + |\operatorname{sign}(a) - \operatorname{sign}(b)|\varphi(|a|+|b|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{sign}(a) - \operatorname{sign}(b)|\varphi(|a|+|b|) \\ \leq\ & \frac{|\operatorname{sign}(a) - \operatorname{sign}(b)|(|a|+|b|)}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|) \\ =\ & \frac{||a|\operatorname{sign}(a) - |a|\operatorname{sign}(b)| + ||b|\operatorname{sign}(a) - |b|\operatorname{sign}(b)|}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|) \\ \leq\ & \frac{|a-b| + |b - |a|\operatorname{sign}(b)| + ||b|\operatorname{sign}(a) - a| + |a-b|}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|) \\ \leq\ & \frac{|a-b| + ||b|-|a|| + ||b|-|a|| + |a-b|}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|) \\ \leq\ & 4\frac{|a-b|}{|a|+|b|}\varphi(|a|+|b|) \end{aligned}$$

If $|b| = |a|$, then the lemma was proved. We can suppose that $0 < |b| < |a|$. If $\beta \leq 1$, then $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$ is a non-increasing function of t. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(|a|) - \varphi(|b|) &= \frac{|b|}{|a|}\varphi(|a|) + \frac{|a| - |b|}{|a|}\varphi(|a|) - \varphi(|b|) \\
&\leq |b|\frac{\varphi(|b|)}{|b|} + (|a| - |b|)\frac{\varphi(|a| - |b|)}{|a| - |b|} - \varphi(|b|) \\
&= \varphi(|a| - |b|) \\
&\leq \varphi(|a - b|).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\beta \geq 1$, then $1 - t^{\beta} \leq \beta(1 - t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Using this inequality, since φ is in the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(|a|) - \varphi(|b|) &= \varphi(|a|) - |b|^{\beta}\frac{\varphi(|b|)}{|b|^{\beta}} \\
&\leq \varphi(|a|) - |b|^{\beta}\frac{\varphi(|a|)}{|a|^{\beta}} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{|b|^{\beta}}{|a|^{\beta}}\right)|a|^{\alpha}\frac{\varphi(|a|)}{|a|^{\alpha}} \\
&\leq \beta\left(1 - \frac{|b|}{|a|}\right)\frac{|a|^{\alpha}}{(|a| + |b|)^{\alpha}}\varphi(|a| + |b|).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\frac{|a|^{\alpha}}{(|a|+|b|)^{\alpha}} \leq 1 \leq 2\frac{|a|}{|a|+|b|}$. If $\alpha \leq 1$, then

$$\frac{|a|^{\alpha}}{(|a| + |b|)^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{|a| + |b|}{|a|}\right)^{1-\alpha}\frac{|a|}{|a| + |b|} \leq 2\frac{|a|}{|a| + |b|}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(1 - \frac{|b|}{|a|}\right)\frac{|a|^{\alpha}}{(|a| + |b|)^{\alpha}}\varphi(|a| + |b|) &\leq 2\beta\left(1 - \frac{|b|}{|a|}\right)\frac{|a|}{|a| + |b|}\varphi(|a| + |b|) \\
&= 2\beta\frac{|a| - |b|}{|a| + |b|}\varphi(|a| + |b|) \\
&\leq 2\beta\frac{|a - b|}{|a| + |b|}\varphi(|a| + |b|).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Using above lemma, we can prove Theorem 13 as in [Del05].

Proof of Theorem 13. We may assume $\varphi = \Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$. Fix $f, h \in A_{\Phi}$ with $f \neq h$. Let

$$\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x) = |\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f)(x) - \phi_{\Phi\Psi}(h)(x)| = |\varphi(|f(x)|)\operatorname{sign}(f(x)) - \varphi(|h(x)|)\operatorname{sign}(h(x))|,$$

$v(x) = |f(x) - h(x)|$ and $w(x) = |f(x)| + |h(x)|$ for $x \in \Omega$. Our aim is to estimate

$$\|\phi_{\Phi\Psi}(f) - \phi_{\Phi\Psi}(h)\|_{(\Psi)} = \|\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}\|_{(\Psi)} = \inf\left\{ b > 0 \mid \int_\Omega \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}}{b}\right) d\mu(x) \le 1 \right\}$$

using $\|f - h\|_{(\Phi)} = \|v\|_{(\Phi)}$. We show the estimate for the three cases $\alpha \le \beta \le 1$, $\alpha \le 1 \le \beta$, and $1 \le \alpha \le \beta$.

Case 1: $\alpha \le \beta \le 1$.

Let $b = \frac{\|v\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}}{8}$, then $0 < b < 1$. Since $\beta \le 1$, using Lemma 14 we have

$$\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b} \le \frac{1}{b}\varphi(v(x)) + \frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi(w(x)) \le \frac{4}{b}\varphi(v(x)) + \frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi(w(x)).$$

If $\frac{4}{b} \le 1$ and $\frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} \le 1$, then since $\frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \ge 0$, $\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} \le 1$, and $b < 1$, using inequalities (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{4}{b}\varphi(v(x)) + \frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi(w(x)) &\le \varphi\left(\left(\frac{4}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} v(x)\right) + \varphi\left(\left(\frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} w(x)\right) \\
&\le 2\varphi\left(\left(\frac{4}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} v(x)\right) \\
&\le \varphi\left(2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\frac{4^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{b^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} v(x)\right) \\
&\le \varphi\left(\frac{8^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{b^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} v(x)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for other cases ($\frac{4}{b} > 1$ or $\frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} > 1$) we have

$$\frac{4}{b}\varphi(v(x)) + \frac{4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi(w(x)) \le \varphi\left(\left(\frac{8}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} v(x)\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) &\le \int_\Omega \Psi\left(\varphi\left(\left(\frac{8}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} v(x)\right)\right) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{v(x)}{\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}\right) d\mu(x) \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This means $\|\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}\|_{(\Psi)} \le \frac{\|v\|^\alpha_{(\Phi)}}{8}$. Hence $\phi_{\Phi\Psi}$ is α-Hölder on A_Φ.

Case 2: $1 \le \alpha \le \beta$.

Let $b = 3^\beta(2\beta+4)\|v\|_{(\Phi)}$. Since $\beta \geq 1$, using Lemma 14 and inequalities (2) we have

$$\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b} \leq \frac{2\beta+4}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi(w(x)) \leq \frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right).$$

Let $\Omega_1 := \{x \in \Omega \mid \frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} \leq 1\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{x \in \Omega \mid \frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} > 1\}$. If $x \in \Omega_1$, by the convexity of Ψ

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) &\leq \Psi\left(\frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\Psi\left(\varphi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\Phi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\|\frac{w}{3}\right\|_{(\Phi)} = \left\|\frac{|f|+|h|}{3}\right\|_{(\Phi)} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{(\Phi)} + \|h\|_{(\Phi)}}{3} \leq 1,$$

using the inequality $\Phi^*\left(\frac{\Phi(t)}{t}\right) \leq \Phi(t)$ for $t > 0$ (see [KR61], p.13), we have

$$\int_\Omega \Phi^*\left(\frac{\Phi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right)}{\frac{w(x)}{3}}\right) d\mu(x) \leq \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right) d\mu(x) \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\left\|\frac{\Phi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right)}{\frac{w(x)}{3}}\right\|_{(\Phi^*)} \leq 1.$$

Using non-normalized Hölder inequality (see Proposition 1 at 3.3 in [RR91]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_1} \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) &\leq \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\Phi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right) d\mu(x) \\
&\leq \frac{3^{\beta-1}(2\beta+4)}{b} 2\|v\|_{(\Phi)} \left\|\frac{\Phi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right)}{\frac{w(x)}{3}}\right\|_{(\Phi^*)} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$ is a non-decreasing function, for $x \in \Omega_2$ we have

$$\frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{3^\beta(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\frac{w(x)}{3}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{v(x)}{3\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}\right).$$

Hence by the convexity of Φ

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) \le \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{v(x)}{3\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}\right) d\mu(x) \le \frac{1}{3}.$$

Summarizing these inequalities, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) \le 1.$$

This means $\|\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}\|_{(\Psi)} \le b = 3^{\beta}(2\beta+4)\|v\|_{(\Phi)}$. Hence $\phi_{\Phi\Psi}$ is Lipschitz on A_{Φ}.

Case 3: $\alpha \le 1 \le \beta$.

Let $b = 3^{\beta-\alpha+1}(2\beta+4)\|v\|_{(\Phi)}^{\alpha}$. Since $\beta \ge 1$, as above

$$\frac{1}{b}\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x) \le \frac{3^{\beta}(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right).$$

Let $\Omega_1 := \{x \in \Omega \mid \frac{3^{\beta}(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} \le 1\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{x \in \Omega \mid \frac{3^{\beta}(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)} > 1\}$. Since $\frac{\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}{3} \le \left(\frac{\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}{3}\right)^{\alpha}$, for $x \in \Omega_1$, as above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_1} \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) &\le \frac{3^{\beta-1}(2\beta+4)2\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}{b} \\
&\le \frac{3^{\beta-1}(2\beta+4)3^{1-\alpha}2\|v\|_{(\Phi)}^{\alpha}}{b} \\
&\le \frac{2}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $x \in \Omega_2$, using inequalities (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{3^{\beta}(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\varphi\left(\frac{w(x)}{3}\right) &\le \varphi\left(\left(\frac{3^{\beta}(2\beta+4)}{b}\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\frac{w(x)}{3}\right) \\
&= \varphi\left(\frac{3^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}(2\beta+4)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{b^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\left(\frac{v(x)}{w(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} v(x)\right) \\
&\le \varphi\left(\frac{3^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}(2\beta+4)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{b^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} v(x)\right) \\
&\le \varphi\left(\frac{v(x)}{3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by the convexity of Φ

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) \le \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{v(x)}{3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|v\|_{(\Phi)}}\right) d\mu(x) \le \frac{1}{3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \le \frac{1}{3}.$$

Summarizing these inequalities, we have

$$\int_\Omega \Psi\left(\frac{\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}(x)}{b}\right) d\mu(x) \leq 1.$$

This means $\|\Delta_{\Phi\Psi}\|_{(\Psi)} \leq b = 3^{\beta-\alpha+1}(2\beta+4)\|f-h\|_{(\Phi)}^\alpha$. Hence $\phi_{\Phi\Psi}$ is α-Hölder on A_Φ. This completes the proof. $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 6

Let G be a locally compact second countable group, and Φ an N-function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2^\Omega \cap \nabla_2^\Omega$, and

(1) $\Omega = [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, or (2) $\Omega = [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, or (3) $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

By Proposition 4 at 3.2 in [RR91] the Orlicz space $L^\Phi([0,1],\mathbb{K})$ with the gauge norm $\|\ \|_{(\Phi)}$ is a rearrangement-invariant function space. Hence by Theorem 10 in [Lum63], Theorem 1.1 in [KR94], by Theorem 1 in [Ara85] (since $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ has counting measure), for a surjective linear isometry U on $L^\Phi(\Omega,\mathbb{K})$, there exist a Borel function $h : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, and an invertible Borel map $T : \Omega \to \Omega$ such that

(i) for any Borel set $A \subset \Omega$, $\mu(T^{-1}A) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(A) = 0$, and

(ii) for all $f \in L^\Phi(\Omega)$

$$Uf(x) = h(x)f(T(x)) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{4}$$

In particular, if $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, then $|h(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$. When $\Omega = [0,1]$, since $\mu \circ T$ is a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to μ, it has the Radon-Nykodym derivative $r : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$, which satisfies

$$\mu(TA) = \int_A r(x) d\mu, \qquad \int_A f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{T^{-1}A} f(Tx)r(x) d\mu(x)$$

for any Borel set $A \subset [0,1]$ and any $f \in L^\Phi([0,1],\mathbb{K})$. Under this situation, by the same proof of Theorem 5.4.10 (106) in [FJ03], the equation (4) implies

$$\Phi(|h(x)|\alpha) = r(x)\Phi(\alpha) \tag{5}$$

for almost all $x \in [0,1]$ and all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Lemma 15. *The conjugation*

$$U \mapsto \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}$$

is a homomorphism from $O(L^\Phi(\Omega,\mathbb{K}))$ to $O(L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{K}))$.

Proof. For a simple function $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$, using the equations (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}(f)(x) \\
= \; & \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \left(\Phi^{-1}(|f(x)|^2) \operatorname{sign}(f(x))\right) \\
= \; & \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \left(h(x)\Phi^{-1}(|f(Tx)|^2) \operatorname{sign}(f(Tx))\right) \\
= \; & \left(\Phi\left(|h(x)\Phi^{-1}(|f(Tx)|^2) \operatorname{sign}(f(Tx))|\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sign}\left(h(x) \operatorname{sign}(f(Tx))\right) \\
= \; & \left(r(x)\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(|f(Tx)|^2)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sign}(h(x)) \operatorname{sign}(f(Tx)) \\
= \; & r(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sign}(h(x)) f(Tx)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the map $U \mapsto \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}$ is linear. Furthermore, since $\Omega = T^{-1}\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}(f)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_\Omega |r(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sign}(h(x)) f(Tx)|^2 d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{T^{-1}\Omega} |f(Tx)|^2 r(x) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_\Omega |f(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \\
&= \|f\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we define $(\phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi})^{-1} := \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U^{-1} \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi})^{-1} \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi} &= \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U^{-1} \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi} \circ \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi} \\
&= \mathrm{id}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}$ is an invertible linear isometry on the subspace {simple functions} $\subset L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$. By extending the map $U \mapsto \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ U \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}$ to the linear isometry on $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$, we have the homomorphism from $O(L^\Phi(\Omega, \mathbb{K}))$ to $O(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{K}))$. □

Theorem 6. *Let G be a locally compact second countable group, and Φ an N-function such that $\Phi \in \Delta_2^\Omega \cap \nabla_2^\Omega$, and*

(1) $\Omega = [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, or (2) $\Omega = [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, or (3) $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

If G has Kazhdan's property (T), then it has property $(T_{L^\Phi(\Omega,\mathbb{K})})$ with respect to gauge norm.

Proof. Assume G does not have property $(T_{L^\Phi(\Omega,\mathbb{K})})$. Write $B = L^\Phi(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ and $H = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ ($H = L^2(\Omega \times \{1, \sqrt{-1}\}, \mathbb{R})$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$). Then there is a linear isometric G-representation $\rho : G \to O(B)$ so that the quotient representation $\tilde{\rho} : G \to O(B/B^{\rho(G)})$ almost has invariant vectors, i.e. for any compact subset

$K \subset G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist unit vectors $\tilde{f}_n = f_n + B^{\rho(G)} \in \tilde{B} := B/B^{\rho(G)}$ so that

$$\max_{g\in K} \|\tilde{\rho}(g)\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}} = \max_{g\in K} \inf_{h\in B^{\rho(G)}} \|\rho(g)f_n - f_n - h\|_B < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Hence for each $g \in K$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $h_{g,n} \in B^{\rho(G)}$ such that

$$\|(\rho(g)f_n - f_n) - h_{g,n}\|_B < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Thus for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|(\rho(g^i)f_n - \rho(g^{i-1})f_n) - h_{g,n}\|_B = \|\rho(g^{i-1})(\rho(g)f_n - f_n - h_{g,n})\|_B < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Hence

$$\|(\rho(g^n)f_n - f_n) - nh_{g,n}\|_B \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|(\rho(g^i)f_n - \rho(g^{i-1})f_n) - h_{g,n}\|_B < \frac{1}{n}.$$

On the other hand, since $\|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\tilde{B}} = 1$, there is $h_n \in B^{\rho(G)}$ such that

$$1 \leq \|f_n - h_n\|_B \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Hence

$$\|\rho(g^n)f_n - f_n\|_B \leq \|\rho(g^n)f_n - \rho(g^n)h_n\|_B + \|f_n - h_n\|_B \leq 2 + \frac{2}{n^2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} n\|h_{g,n}\|_B &= \|nh_{g,n}\|_B \\ &\leq \|(\rho(g^n)f_n - f_n) - nh_{g,n}\|_B + \|\rho(g^n)f_n - f_n\|_B \\ &\leq 2 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\|\rho(g)f_n - f_n\|_B \leq \|(\rho(g)f_n - f_n) - h_{g,n}\|_B + \|h_{g,n}\|_B \leq \frac{2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n^3} \leq \frac{6}{n}.$$

Thus the sequences $\{f'_n = \frac{f_n}{\|f_n - h_n\|_B}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B$, $\{h'_n = \frac{h_n}{\|f_n - h_n\|_B}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B^{\rho(G)}$ satisfy $\|f'_n - h'_n\|_B = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{g\in K} \|\rho(g)(f'_n - h'_n) - (f'_n - h'_n)\|_B &= \frac{\max_{g\in K} \|\rho(g)(f_n - h_n) - (f_n - h_n)\|_B}{\|f_n - h_n\|_B} \\ &\leq \frac{6}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us then define $\pi : G \to O(\mathcal{H})$ by $\pi(g) = \phi_{\Phi,t^2} \circ \rho(g) \circ \phi_{t^2,\Phi}$. Then ϕ_{Φ,t^2} maps $B^{\rho(G)}$ onto $\mathcal{H}^{\pi(G)}$. Let $v_n := \phi_{\Phi,t^2}(f'_n - h'_n)$. Then $\|v_n\|_H = 1$ and by Theorem 13 there are $0 < \alpha < \infty$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{g\in K} \|\pi(g)v_n - v_n\|_H &= \max_{g\in K} \|\phi_{\Phi,t^2}(\rho(g)(f'_n - h'_n)) - \phi_{\Phi,t^2}(f'_n - h'_n)\|_H \\ &\le C\left(\frac{6}{n}\right)^{1\wedge\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities (3), there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $1-\delta \le \|u\|_H \le 1+\delta$, then $\frac{1}{2} \le \|\phi_{t^2,\Phi}(u)\|_H \le \frac{3}{2}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in H^{\pi(G)}$, if $\|u\|_H < 1-\delta$, then

$$\|v_n - u\|_H \ge \|v_n\|_H - \|u\|_H > \delta,$$

if $\|u\|_H > 1+\delta$, then

$$\|v_n - u\|_H \ge \|u\|_H - \|v_n\|_H > \delta,$$

if $1-\delta \le \|u\|_H \le 1+\delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}C\|v_n - u\|_H^{1\wedge\alpha} &\ge \|\phi_{t^2,\Phi}(v_n) - \phi_{t^2,\Phi}(u)\|_B \\ &= \|f'_n - h'_n - \phi_{t^2,\Phi}(u)\|_B \\ &\ge \inf_{h\in B^{\rho(G)}} \|f'_n - h\|_B \\ &= \inf_{h\in B^{\rho(G)}} \left\|\frac{f_n}{\|f_n - h_n\|_B} - h\right\|_B \\ &= \frac{\inf_{h\in B^{\rho(G)}} \|f_n - h\|_B}{\|f_n - h_n\|_B} \\ &\ge \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{n^2}} \ge \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < \infty$. That is, there is a constant $\delta' > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\inf_{u\in H^{\pi(G)}} \|v_n - u\|_H \ge \delta'.$$

Let w_n denote the projection of v_n to $H' = (H^{\pi(G)})^\perp$. Then $\|w_n\|_H \ge \delta' > 0$ for all n and

$$\max_{g\in K} \left\|\pi(g)\frac{w_n}{\|w_n\|_H} - \frac{w_n}{\|w_n\|_H}\right\|_H \le \max_{g\in K} \frac{1}{\delta'}\|\pi(g)v_n - v_n\|_H \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus the restriction π' of π to H' does not G-invariant vectors, but almost does. Hence G does not have Kazhdan's property (T). $\square$

6 Proof of Theorem 8

Theorem 8. *If a locally compact second countable topological group G has property (T), then there exists a constant $\epsilon(G) > 0$ such that G has property (F_B) for every real (or complex) Banach spaces B with $\delta_B(t) \geq \delta_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $0 < t < 2$ (or $\rho_B(t) \leq \rho_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $t > 0$).*

Proof. Since G is a locally compact second countable topological group with Kazhdan's property (T), it is compactly generated. Fix a compact generating subset K with non-empty interior of G.

Lemma 16. *There exist a constant $\epsilon(G) > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such that for any real (or complex) Banach space $(B, \| \ \|)$ with $\delta_B(t) \geq \delta_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $0 < t < 2$ (or $\rho_B(t) \leq \rho_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $t > 0$), any affine isometric action α of G on B, and any point $x \in B$ with $\max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, x) - x\| > 0$, there exists a point $y \in B$ with*

$$\|x - y\| \leq C \max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, x) - x\|, \quad \max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, y) - y\| \leq \frac{\max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, x) - x\|}{2}.$$

Proof. By contradiction, we assume for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist Banach spaces $(B_n, \| \ \|_n)$ with $\delta_{B_n}(t) \geq \delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{n}}}(t)$ for all $0 < t < 2$, affine isometric G-actions α_n on B_n, and points $x_n \in B_n$ such that, after a rescaling to achieve $\max_{g\in K} \|\alpha_n(g, x_n) - x_n\|_n = 1$,

$$\max_{g\in K} \|\alpha_n(g, y) - y\|_n > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $y \in B_n$ with $\|y - x_n\|_n \leq n$.

Let ω be a non-principal ultrafilter. Set $(B_\omega, \| \ \|_\omega)$ be the ultraproduct of the spaces $(B_n, \| \ \|_n)$ with the marked points x_n. For $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$, we take $u, v \in B_\omega$ with $\|u\|_\omega = \|v\|_\omega = 1$ and $\|u - v\|_\omega \geq \epsilon$. Let (u_n) be the representatives of u, (v_n) of v, and $0 < \eta < \epsilon$. Then by the uniform convexity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\ \|u_n\|_n - 1| < \eta,\ |\ \|v_n\|_n - 1| < \eta,\ |\|u - v\|_\omega - \|u_n - v_n\|_n| < \eta\} \\
\subset\ & \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\ \|u_n\|_n - 1| < \eta,\ |\ \|v_n\|_n - 1| < \eta,\ \|u_n - v_n\|_n > \epsilon - \eta\} \\
\subset\ & \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{\|u_n\|_n}{1+\eta} < 1,\ \frac{\|v_n\|_n}{1+\eta} < 1,\ \frac{\|u_n - v_n\|_n}{1+\eta} > \frac{\epsilon - \eta}{1+\eta}\right\} \\
\subset\ & \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{\|u_n + v_n\|_n}{2(1+\eta)} \leq 1 - \delta_{B_n}\left(\frac{\epsilon - \eta}{1+\eta}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the set at the top line is in ω, the set at the bottom line is also in ω. Since $\delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{m}}} \leq \delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{n}}}$ for $m \leq n$, for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{\|u_n + v_n\|_n}{2(1+\eta)} \leq 1 - \delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{m}}}\left(\frac{\epsilon - \eta}{1+\eta}\right)\right\} \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq m\} \in \omega.$$

Since η is arbitrary, we have

$$\frac{\|u + v\|_\omega}{2} \leq \inf_{0<\eta<\epsilon} (1+\eta)\left(1 - \delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{m}}}\left(\frac{\epsilon - \eta}{1+\eta}\right)\right) = 1 - \delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{m}}}(\epsilon),$$

that is, $\delta_{B_\omega}(\epsilon) \geq \delta_{L^{2+\frac{1}{m}}}(\epsilon)$ for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$. Since m is also arbitrary, we have $\delta_{B_\omega}(\epsilon) \geq \delta_{L^2}(\epsilon)$ for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$. This means $(B_\omega, \| \, \|_\omega)$ is a Hilbert space (see p.410 in [BL00] and Theorem 7.2. in [Day47]). Since G is generated by K and $\max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, x_n) - x_n\|_n = 1$, we obtain an affine isometric G-action α_ω on the Hilbert space B_ω from α_n. Then, by the assumption, for any $y \in B_\omega$, we have

$$\max_{g\in K} \|\alpha_\omega(g, y) - y\|_\omega \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

that is, α_ω has no fixed point. This means G does not have property (FH), hence contradicting the property (T) of G. For B with $\rho_B(t) \leq \rho_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $t > 0$, we can prove in a similar manner. $\square$

Let α be an arbitrary affine isometric G-action on a Banach space B with $\delta_B(t) \geq \delta_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $0 < t < 2$ (or $\rho_B(t) \leq \rho_{L^{2+\epsilon(G)}}(t)$ for all $t > 0$). Define a sequence $x_n \in B$ inductively, starting from an arbitrary $x_0 \in B$. Given x_n, let $R_n = \max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, x_n) - x_n\|$. Then, applying the lemma, there exists $x_{n+1} \in B$ with

$$\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq CR_n$$

so that

$$R_{n+1} = \max_{g\in K} \|\alpha(g, x_{n+1}) - x_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{R_n}{2}.$$

We get $R_n \leq R_0/2^n$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_B \leq CR_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = CR_0 < \infty.$$

The limit of the Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a G-fixed point of α. $\square$

7 Proof of Theorem 9

Theorem 9. *Let Γ be a hyperbolic group and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists $2 \leq p = p(\Gamma) < \infty$ such that, for any N-functions Φ and Ψ satisfying*

- *$\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\mathbb{N}}$, and*
- *there is a constant $D > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that $\Psi(t) \leq Dt^p$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$,*

Γ admits a proper affine isometric action on the $\ell^\Psi(\Gamma, \ell^\Phi(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}))$ space with gauge norm, where

$$\ell^\Psi(\Gamma, \ell^\Phi(\Gamma, \mathbb{K})) = \left\{ \xi : \Gamma \to \ell^\Phi(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}) \mid \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Psi\left(a\|\xi(\gamma)\|_{(\Phi)}\right) < \infty \text{ for some } a > 0 \right\}$$

with gauge norm

$$\|\xi\|_{(\Psi\Phi)} = \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Psi\left(\frac{\|\xi(\gamma)\|_{(\Phi)}}{b}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Since Γ is a countable set with counting measure, we can identify Γ and $\mathbb{N}$ as measure spaces. Let S be a finite generating set of Γ and G a Cayley graph of Γ with respect to S. We endow G the path metric d, and identify Γ with the set of vertices of G. Let $\delta \geq 1$ be a positive integer such that all the geodesic triangles in G are δ-fine. Set $B(x,R) = \{a \in \Gamma \mid d(a,x) \leq R\}$ and $S(x,R) = \{a \in \Gamma \mid d(a,x) = R\}$.

Let q be a Γ-equivalent bicombing, that is, a function assigning to each $(a,b) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$ an oriented edge-path $q[a,b]$ from a to b satisfying $q[g\cdot a, g\cdot b] = g \cdot q[a,b]$ for each $a,b,g \in \Gamma$. Set $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Q}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L}) = \left\{ \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} c_\gamma \gamma \mid c_\gamma \in \mathbb{L},\ c_\gamma = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } \gamma \right\}$$

where we identify Γ with the standard basis of $C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$. Therefore the left action of Γ on itself induces an action on $C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$.

Proposition 17 ([Min01])**.** *There is a function $f : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$ satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *For each $a, b \in \Gamma$, $f(a,b)$ is a convex combination, i.e. its coefficients are non-negative and sum up to 1.*
2. *If $d(a,b) \leq 10\delta$, then $f(b,a) = a$.*
3. *If $d(a,b) \geq 10\delta$, then* $\operatorname{supp} f(b,a) \subset B(q[b,a](10\delta), \delta) \cap S(b, 10\delta)$.
4. *f is Γ-equivariant, i.e. $f(g\cdot a, g\cdot b) = g \cdot f(a,b)$ for any $g, a, b \in \Gamma$.*
5. *There exist constants $L \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that, for all $a, a', b \in \Gamma$,*

$$\|f(b,a) - f(b,a')\|_1 \leq L\lambda^{(a|a')_b},$$

where $(a|a')_b$ is the Gromov product defined by

$$(a|a')_b = \frac{1}{2}[d(b,a) + d(b,a') - d(a,a')]$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} c_\gamma \gamma \right\|_1 = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} |c_\gamma|$$

for $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} c_\gamma \gamma \in C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$.

For an N-function $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\mathbb{N}}$, endow $C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$ with the gauge-norm such as

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma \gamma \right\|_{(\Phi)} = \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{|c_\gamma|}{b} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Since we can write $f(b,a) - f(b,a') = \sum_{\gamma \in B(b,10\delta)} c_\gamma \gamma$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(b,a) - f(b,a')\|_{(\Phi)} \\
= & \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in B(b,10\delta)} c_\gamma \gamma \right\|_{(\Phi)} \\
= & \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \sum_{\gamma \in B(b,10\delta)} \Phi\left(\frac{|c_\gamma|}{b} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
\leq & \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \sum_{\gamma \in B(b,10\delta)} \Phi\left(\frac{\sum_{\gamma' \in B(b,10\delta)} |c_{\gamma'}|}{b} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
= & \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \frac{\| \sum_{\gamma \in B(b,10\delta)} c_\gamma \gamma \|_1}{b} \leq \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|} \right) \right\} \\
= & \frac{\|f(b,a) - f(b,a')\|_1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|} \right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can write $f(b,a) = \sum_{\gamma \in B(b,10\delta)} c'_\gamma \gamma$, and by the non-normalized Hölder inequality for Orlicz space, we get

$$1 = \|f(b,a)\|_1 \leq 2\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)} \|\chi_{B(b,10\delta)}\|_{(\Phi^*)} = \frac{2\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}}{(\Phi^*)^{-1}(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|})}.$$

As in [Yu05], for each pair $a, b \in \Gamma$, define $h(b,a) = \frac{f(b,a)}{\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|h(b,a) - h(b,a')\|_{(\Phi)} \\
= & \left\| \frac{f(b,a)}{\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}} - \frac{f(b,a')}{\|f(b,a')\|_{(\Phi)}} \right\|_{(\Phi)} \\
\leq & \frac{\|f(b,a) - f(b,a')\|_{(\Phi)}}{\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}} + \frac{|\|f(b,a')\|_{(\Phi)} - \|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}|}{\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}} \\
\leq & 2\frac{\|f(b,a) - f(b,a')\|_{(\Phi)}}{\|f(b,a)\|_{(\Phi)}} \\
\leq & \frac{4\|f(b,a) - f(b,a')\|_1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|} \right) (\Phi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|} \right)} \\
= & C\lambda^{(a|a')_b}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{4L}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|}\right)(\Phi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(b,10\delta)|}\right)}$. Since $\Phi \in \Delta_2^{\mathbb{N}}$, the Orlicz space $\ell^{\Phi}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K})$ is the completion of $C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$ with respect to the gauge norm. Notice that the Γ action on $C_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{L})$ can be extended to an isometric action on $\ell^{\Phi}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K})$.

Let π be a linear isometric action on $X = \ell^{\Psi}(\Gamma, \ell^{\Phi}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}))$ defined by

$$(\pi(g)\xi)(\gamma) = g(\xi(g^{-1}\gamma)) = (\xi(g^{-1}\gamma))(g^{-1}\cdot)$$

for all $\xi \in X$ and $g, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Define a function $\eta : \Gamma \to \ell^{\Phi}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K})$, by

$$\eta(\gamma) = h(\gamma, e)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where e is the identity element in Γ.

Let $v > 0$ such that $|B(x, r)| \leq v^r$ for all $x \in \Gamma$ and $r > 0$. Choose $p = p(\Gamma) \geq 2$ such that $\lambda^p v < \frac{1}{2}$. Let Ψ be a N-function such that there is a constant $D > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ with $\Psi(t) \leq Dt^p$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$. Since $(\pi(g)\eta - \eta)(\gamma) = g(h(g^{-1}\gamma, e)) - h(\gamma, e) = h(\gamma, g) - h(\gamma, e)$ holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Psi\left(\frac{\|(\pi(g)\eta - \eta)(\gamma)\|_{(\Phi)}}{c}\right) &= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Psi\left(\frac{\|h(\gamma, g) - h(\gamma, e)\|_{(\Phi)}}{c}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(g|e)_\gamma}}{c}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(d(\gamma,e)-d(g,e))}}{c}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(n-d(g,e))}}{c}\right) v^n.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $g \in \Gamma$, we set $n_0(g) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid C\lambda^{(n-d(g,e))} \leq t_0\}$, and

$$c_0(g) = \min\left\{c \geq 1 \mid n_0(g)\Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{-d(g,e)}}{c}\right) v^{n_0(g)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Then since $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $v > 1$, for $c \geq c_0(g)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(n-d(g,e))}}{c}\right) v^n \\
\leq\ & \sum_{n=0}^{n_0(g)-1} \Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(n-d(g,e))}}{c}\right) v^n + \sum_{n=n_0(g)}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(n-d(g,e))}}{c}\right) v^n \\
\leq\ & n_0(g)\Psi\left(\frac{C\lambda^{-d(g,e)}}{c_0(g)}\right) v^{n_0(g)} + \sum_{n=n_0(g)}^{\infty} D\left(\frac{C\lambda^{(n-d(g,e))}}{c}\right)^p v^n \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{c^p} D\left(C\lambda^{-d(g,e)}\right)^p \sum_{n=n_0(g)}^{\infty} (\lambda^p v)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\pi(g)\eta-\eta\|_{(\Psi\Phi)} \\
= \quad & \inf\left\{c>0 \mid \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Psi\left(\frac{\|(\pi(g)\eta-\eta)(\gamma)\|_{(\Phi)}}{c}\right)\leq 1\right\} \\
\leq \quad & \inf\left\{c\geq c_0(g) \mid \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Psi\left(\frac{\|(\pi(g)\eta-\eta)(\gamma)\|_{(\Phi)}}{c}\right)\leq 1\right\} \\
\leq \quad & \inf\left\{c\geq c_0(g) \mid \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{c^p}D\left(C\lambda^{-d(g,e)}\right)^p\sum_{n=n_0(g)}^{\infty}(\lambda^p v)^n\leq 1\right\} \\
\leq \quad & \inf\left\{c\geq c_0(g) \mid 2D\left(C\lambda^{-d(g,e)}\right)^p\leq c^p\right\} \\
= \quad & \max\{c_0(g),(2D)^{\frac{1}{p}}C\lambda^{-d(g,e)}\}<\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\pi(g)\eta-\eta$ is an element in X for each $g\in\Gamma$. We now define an affine isometric action α on X by Γ by

$$\alpha(g)\xi=\pi(g)\xi+\pi(g)\eta-\eta$$

for all $\xi\in X$ and $g\in\Gamma$. For $\gamma\in q[g,e]$ with $d(\gamma,e)\geq 10\delta$ and $d(\gamma,g)\geq 10\delta$, since

$$B(q[\gamma,e](10\delta),\delta)\cap B(q[\gamma,g](10\delta),\delta)=\emptyset,$$

we have $\operatorname{supp} h(\gamma,e)\cap\operatorname{supp} h(\gamma,g)=\emptyset$, and hence

$$\|h(\gamma,g)-h(\gamma,e)\|_{(\Phi)}\geq 1.$$

Thus for $g\in\Gamma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\pi(g)\eta-\eta\|_{(\Psi\Phi)} \quad = \quad & \inf\left\{c>0 \mid \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Psi\left(\frac{\|h(\gamma,g)-h(\gamma,e)\|_{(\Phi)}}{c}\right)\leq 1\right\} \\
\geq \quad & \inf\left\{c>0 \mid \sum_{\gamma\in q[g,e];d(\gamma,e)\geq 10\delta,d(\gamma,g)\geq 10\delta}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\leq 1\right\} \\
= \quad & \frac{1}{\Psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{|\{\gamma\in q[g,e];d(\gamma,e)\geq 10\delta,d(\gamma,g)\geq 10\delta\}|})} \\
\geq \quad & \frac{1}{\Psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{d(g,e)-100\delta})}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, for every $\xi\in X$, we have

$$\|\pi(g^{-1})\alpha(g)\xi-\xi\|_{(\Psi\Phi)}=\|\alpha(g)\xi-\pi(g)\xi\|_{(\Psi\Phi)}=\|\pi(g)\eta-\eta\|_{(\Psi\Phi)}\to\infty$$

as $d(g,e)\to\infty$. Therefore the affine isometric action $\beta(g)=\pi(g^{-1})\alpha(g)$ on X of Γ is proper. □

References

[Ara85] Jonathan Arazy, *Isometries of complex symmetric sequence spaces*, Math. Z. **188** (1985), no. 3, 427–431.

[BFGM07] Uri Bader, Alex Furman, Tsachik Gelander, and Nicolas Monod, *Property (T) and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces*, Acta Math. **198** (2007), no. 1, 57–105.

[BL00] Yoav Benyamini and Joram Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis. Vol. 1*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[CDH10] Indira Chatterji, Cornelia Druţu, and Frédéric Haglund, *Kazhdan and Haagerup properties from the median viewpoint*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 2, 882–921.

[Day47] Mahlon M. Day, *Some characterizations of inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1947), 320–337.

[Cla36] James A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), no. 3, 396–414.

[CW80] A. Connes and B. Weiss, *Property* T *and asymptotically invariant sequences*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), no. 3, 209–210.

[Del77] Patrick Delorme, 1-*cohomologie des représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie semi-simples et résolubles. Produits tensoriels continus de représentations*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **105** (1977), no. 3, 281–336 (French).

[Del05] Sylvain Delpech, *Modulus of continuity of the Mazur map between unit balls of Orlicz spaces and approximation by Hölder mappings*, Illinois J. Math. **49** (2005), no. 1, 195–216 (electronic).

[FJ03] Richard J. Fleming and James E. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach spaces: function spaces*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 129, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.

[Gui72] Alain Guichardet, *Sur la cohomologie des groupes topologiques. II*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **96** (1972), 305–332 (French).

[KR94] N. J. Kalton and Beata Randrianantoanina, *Surjective isometries on rearrangement-invariant spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **45** (1994), no. 179, 301–327.

[KR61] M. A. Krasnosel′skiĭ and Ja. B. Rutickiĭ, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.

[Lum63] Gunter Lumer, *On the isometries of reflexive Orlicz spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **13** (1963), 99–109.

[Min01] I. Mineyev, *Straightening and bounded cohomology of hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), no. 4, 807–839.

[RR91] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 146, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.

[RR02] ———, *Applications of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 250, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002.

[Yu05] Guoliang Yu, *Hyperbolic groups admit proper affine isometric actions on l^p-spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), no. 5, 1144–1151.

Mamoru Tanaka,
Advanced Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8577
Japan
E-mail: mamoru.tanaka@wpi-aimr.tohoku.ac.jp